# Review of Day Centres

Scrutiny Committee 7 July 2009, Item 5

Committee: Scrutiny Agenda Item

Date: 7 July 2009

Title: Scrutiny review of Day Centres – terms of

reference

Author: Simon Martin, Head of Customer Support

& Revenue Services, 01799 510422 decision

### Summary

The Committee Workplan includes a review of Day Centres. Members of the Committee agreed at the last meeting that this should be the first review commissioned in 2009/10. Draft terms of reference are therefore attached as an appendix.

Item for

#### Recommendations

- 2 Terms of reference for the review of Day Centres are approved.
- 3 Members are nominated to form a Review Reference Group.

# **Background Papers**

None

## **Impact**

Communication/Consultation	Member representation to day centre management committees		
Community Safety	None		
Equalities	None		
Finance	There are existing approved budgets for Day Centres		
Human Rights	None		
Legal implications	Agreements are in place, would need to be revised to reflect any changes		
Sustainability	None		
Ward-specific impacts	Potentially Saffron Walden, Gt Dunmow, Thaxted, Takeley, Stansted and surrounding wards		
Workforce/Workplace	None		

Author: Simon Martin Page 1 Item 5 / Page 1

Version Date: 22 June 2009

### Review of Day Centres

Scrutiny Committee 7 July 2009, Item 5

#### **Situation**

Members approved a draft workplan at the last meeting. A review of Day Centres was considered and promoted as the first review of 2009/10. Both Officers and Members were keen for such a review to be carried out because Council policy needs to be further developed in this area. This was partly in response to changes in the prevailing customer base, deminishing pool of volunteers to manage the facilities and a sense the centres might be better used by the surrounding community.

### **Risk Analysis**

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
That Council policy for day centres is not appropriate	The scrutiny	Appropriate Council policy will contribute towards vibrant and well used Day Centres	Recommendations to policy committee, Member Reference Group and Member representation to management committees

- 1 = Little or no risk or impact
- 2 = Some risk or impact action may be necessary.
- 3 = Significant risk or impact action required
- 4 = Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project.

Author: Simon Martin • Page 2 Item 5 / Page 2

Version Date: 22 June 2009